



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/781,698

02/13/2001

Lester Sussman

1433

63597 7590 06/03/2009

LESTER SUSSMAN
9213 BULLS RUN PARKWAY
BETHESDA, MD 20817-2403

EXAMINER

SHAAWAT, MUSSA A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/781,698	Applicant(s) SUSSMAN, LESTER	
	Examiner MUSSA A. SHAAWAT	Art Unit 3627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ryan Zeender, Supervisor AU 3627. (3) ____.

(2) Lester Sessman, Applicant. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Petrovich, Suzuki and Ruppert.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the prior art of record and the claim language. Specifically, the limitation regarding the shopping list being done on the home computer and the limitation of collecting and storing a multiplicity of dates and times were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627
--	---